

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/645,156	JUNG, JOO-SUCK	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Terrence R. Till	1744	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Amended

 (1) Terrence R. Till.

(3) _____.

 (2) P. Greeley.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 July 2006
Time: 10:45am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 35 USC 102 and 103

Claims discussed:
 1

Prior art documents discussed:
 Admitted Prior Art

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner phoned applicant's representative to inform him the amendments to the claims would not result in an allowance. The examiner proposed replacing "integrally" with --unitarily-- to obviate the rejections over the admitted prior art.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)